

Solutions for Neumann boundary value problems involving $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace operators *

Duchao Liu[†], Xiaoyan Wang[‡] and Jinghua Yao[§]

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730000, China

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University Bloomington, IN, 47405, USA

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University Bloomington, IN, 47405, USA

Abstract. In this paper we study the nonlinear Neumann boundary value problem of the following equations

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}\nabla u) - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2}\nabla u) + |u|^{p_1(x)-2}u + |u|^{p_2(x)-2}u = \lambda f(x, u)$$

in a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with Neumann boundary condition given by

$$|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + |\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \mu g(x, u)$$

on $\partial\Omega$. Under appropriate conditions on the source and boundary nonlinearities, we obtain a number of results on existence and multiplicity of solutions by variational methods in the framework of variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces.

Keywords Critical points; Variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev Space; Mountain-Pass Lemma; Fountain Theorem; Dual Fountain Theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 35B38 35D05 35J20

*Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC 10971088 and NSFC 10971087).

[†]Email address: liuduchao@yahoo.cn

[‡]Email address: wang264@indiana.edu

[§]Email address: yaoj@indiana.edu

0. Introduction

In the theory of electrorheological fluids, image restoration (see [1, 2, 3] and the references therein) and mixture of two power-law fluids (see [4]), the $p(x)$ -Laplace operator, defined as $\Delta_{p(x)}u(x) := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u)$, plays an important role. After simplifications, those problems are reduced to study differential equations involving the $p(x)$ -Laplace operator. For problems involving different growth rates depending on the underlying domains, they also involve equations with $(p(x), q(x))$ -growth conditions where several $p(x)$ -Laplace operators involved, interacting with one another. This $(p(x), q(x))$ -growth condition is a natural generalization of the anisotropic (p, q) growth condition in electrorheological fluids (see [5, 6, 7]). With the development of the theory on variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, lots of mathematical works have appeared and there are many interesting applications in fluids and electrorheological fluids (see the recent monograph [4] and references) in the Orlicz-type spaces framework.

Driven by the above mentioned physical models and potential further applications, the study of differential equations involving $p(x)$ -Laplace operators has been a very interesting and exciting topic in recent years (see in particular these nice references [8, 9, 4, 5, 6, 7]). As we will see in the following parts of the paper, for problems involving nonstandard growth conditions, the growth conditions are crucial for the solvability of the problems and closely related to the space structures under which we seek solutions. During the development of last several decades, it turns out that the use of variational methods in dealing with these problems is a far-reaching field. Many results have been obtained on this kind of problems, for example [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17] and so on.

In this paper, continuing our former investigations on these topics (see [10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]) and functional properties of $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace operators (see [16]), we study the following $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace Neumann boundary value problem

$$(P) \begin{cases} -\Delta_{p_1(x)}u - \Delta_{p_2(x)}u + |u|^{p_1(x)-2}u + |u|^{p_2(x)-2}u = \lambda f(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega; \\ |\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + |\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \mu g(x, u), & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded smooth domain and $p_i(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ with $p_i(x) > 1$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and for $i = 1, 2$; $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 \neq 0$.

By variational arguments, we show among others that the problem admits mountain pass solution, fountain solutions and dual fountain solutions with appropriate energy behavior when multiple solutions exist. To deal with the boundary nonlinear term, we shall use the trace embedding theorem for our working space; To deal with the nonlinear non-homogeneous

$(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace operator and to show the functionals involved in our problems verifies compactness conditions of (PS) type, we shall use the properties that the corresponding nonlinear function of these operator induces homeomorphism between space pairs and admits Frechet derivative of type $(S+)$ proved in our former work. In particular, different to the use of fountain theorem, we need to show (PS_c^*) -type compactness conditions the proof of which is subtle in order to apply the dual fountain theorem. See section 3 for the assumptions and statements of results.

This paper is organized as follows. We begin by recalling the definitions of the variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces which can be regarded as a special class of generalized Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and introduce some basic properties of these spaces. For the convenience of the readers and to make preparation for the proof in Section 3, we give some properties of the $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace operator, the corresponding integral functional, and related boundary imbedding theorem in Section 2; In Section 3, we state our assumptions precisely and show the existence of weak solutions to problem (P) by variational arguments.

1. The spaces $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$

In this section, we will give out some theories on spaces $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which we call generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. Firstly we state some basic properties of spaces $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ which will be used later (for details see [21, 18, 19, 8] and the references therein).

We write

$$C_+(\overline{\Omega}) = \{h | h \in C(\overline{\Omega}), h(x) > 1 \text{ for any } x \in \overline{\Omega}\},$$

$$h^+ = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} h(x), h^- = \min_{\overline{\Omega}} h(x) \text{ for any } h \in C(\overline{\Omega}),$$

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u | u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function, } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\}.$$

The linear vector space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ can be equipped by the following norm

$$|u|_{p(x)} = |u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \mid \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

then $(L^{p(x)}, |\cdot|_{p(x)})$ becomes a Banach space and we call it variable exponent Lebesgue space.

In the following we shall collect some basic propositions concerning the variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. These propositions will be used throughout our analysis.

Proposition 1.1 (see Fan and Zhao [21] and Zhao et al. [18]). *(i) The space $(L^{p(x)}, |\cdot|_{p(x)})$ is a separable, uniform convex Banach space, and its conjugate space is $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} = 1$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}$, we have*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{q^-} \right) |u|_{p(x)} |v|_{q(x)}.$$

(ii) If $p_1, p_2 \in C_+(\Omega)$, $p_1(x) \leq p_2(x)$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, then $L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$, and the imbedding is continuous.

Proposition 1.2 (see Fan and Zhao [21] and Zhao et al. [19]). *If $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function and satisfies*

$$|f(x, s)| \leq a(x) + b|s|^{\frac{p_1(x)}{p_2(x)}} \text{ for any } x \in \Omega, s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $p_1, p_2 \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, $a(x) \in L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)$, $a(x) \geq 0$ and $b \geq 0$ is a constant, then the Nemytsky operator from $L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$ to $L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)$ defined by $(N_f(u))(x) = f(x, u(x))$ is a continuous and bounded operator.

Proposition 1.3 (see Fan and Zhao [21] and Zhao et al. [18]). *If we denote*

$$\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx, \forall u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega),$$

then

- (i) $|u|_{p(x)} < 1 (= 1; > 1) \Leftrightarrow \rho(u) < 1 (= 1; > 1)$;*
- (ii) $|u|_{p(x)} > 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq |u|_{p(x)}^{p^+}$; $|u|_{p(x)} < 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)}^{p^-} \geq \rho(u) \geq |u|_{p(x)}^{p^+}$;*
- (iii) $|u|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho(u) \rightarrow 0$; $|u|_{p(x)} \rightarrow \infty \Leftrightarrow \rho(u) \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proposition 1.4 (see Fan and Zhao [21] and Zhao et al. [18]). *If $u, u_n \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then the following statements are equivalent to each other:*

- (1) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u_k - u|_{p(x)} = 0$;*
- (2) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_k - u) = 0$;*
- (3) $u_k \rightarrow u$ in measure in Ω and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_k) = \rho(u)$.*

The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \mid |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\}$$

and it is equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{p(x)} = |u|_{p(x)} + |\nabla u|_{p(x)}, \forall u \in W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega).$$

If we denote

$$p^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}, & p(x) < N; \\ \infty, & p(x) \geq N. \end{cases}$$

We have the following

Proposition 1.5 (see Fan and Zhao [21]). *(i) $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is a separable reflexive Banach space;*

(ii) If $q \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and $q(x) < p^*(x)$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, then the embedding from $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ to $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact and continuous.

Proposition 1.6 (see Yao [17]). *If we denote*

$$p_*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(N-1)p(x)}{N-p(x)}, & p(x) < N; \\ \infty, & p(x) \geq N, \end{cases}$$

then the embedding from $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ to $L^{q(x)}(\partial\Omega)$ is compact and continuous, where $q(x) \in C_+(\partial\Omega)$ and $q(x) < p_*(x)$, $\forall x \in \partial\Omega$.

2. Properties of $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace operator

In this section we give the properties of the $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace operator $(-\Delta_{p_1(x)} - \Delta_{p_2(x)})u := -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2}\nabla u) - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2}\nabla u)$. Consider the following functional,

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx, \forall u \in X,$$

where $X := W^{1,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p_2(x)}(\Omega)$ with its norm given by $\|u\| := \|u\|_{p_1(x)} + \|u\|_{p_2(x)}$, $\forall u \in X$.

It is obvious that $J \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ (see [22]), and the $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace operator is the derivative operator of J in the weak sense. Denote $L = J' : X \rightarrow X^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p_1(x)-2} uv) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p_2(x)-2} uv) dx, \forall u, v \in X, \end{aligned}$$

in which $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the dual pair between X and its dual X^* .

Remark 2.1. $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a separable reflexive Banach space.

Similar to [20], we can deduce that

Theorem 2.2. (i) $L : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a continuous, bounded and strictly monotone operator;
(ii) L is a mapping of type (S_+) , namely: if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X and $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle L(u_n) - L(u), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, then $u_n \rightarrow u$ in X ;
(iii) $L : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a homeomorphism.

3. Solutions to the equation

In this section we will give the existence results of weak solutions to problem (P). We denote

$$p_M(x) = \max\{p_1(x), p_2(x)\}, p_m(x) = \min\{p_1(x), p_2(x)\}, \forall x \in \Omega.$$

It is easy to see that $p_M(x), p_m(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$.

Lemma 3.1. (i) For $q(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $q(x) < p_M^*(x)$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, we have $X := W^{1,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p_2(x)}(\Omega) = W^{1,p_M(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, and the embedding is continuous and compact;

(ii) For $r(x) \in C_+(\partial\Omega)$ such that $r(x) < p_{M*}(x)$ for any $x \in \partial\Omega$, we have $X := W^{1,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p_2(x)}(\Omega) = W^{1,p_M(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{r(x)}(\partial\Omega)$, and the embedding is continuous and compact.

Proof. From $X := W^{1,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p_2(x)}(\Omega) = W^{1,p_M(x)}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p_m(x)}(\Omega) = W^{1,p_M(x)}(\Omega)$ we can get the conclusion by Proposition 1.5 and Proposition 1.6. $\square$

To proceed, we give the definition of weak solution to Problem (P):

Definition 3.2. We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (P) if the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p_1(x)-2} uv) dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p_2(x)-2} uv) dx = \\ & \int_{\Omega} \lambda f(x, u) v dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu g(x, u) v d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $v \in X := W^{1,p_1(x)}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p_2(x)}(\Omega)$, where $d\sigma$ is the surface measure on $\partial\Omega$.

We denote the functional $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) := & \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx \\ & - \int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, u) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu G(x, u) d\sigma, \forall u \in X, \end{aligned}$$

where $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$, and $G(x, t) = \int_0^t g(x, s) ds$. Next, we state the assumptions on f and g :

(f₀) $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition and there exist two constants $C_1 \geq 0, C_2 > 0$ such that:

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C_1 + C_2 |t|^{\alpha(x)-1}, \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $\alpha(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\alpha(x) < p_M^*(x), \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

(f₁) There exists constants $M_1 > 0, \theta_1 > p_M^+$ such that:

$$0 < \theta_1 F(x, t) \leq f(x, t)t, |t| \geq M_1, \forall x \in \Omega.$$

(f₂) $f(x, t) = o(|t|^{p_M^+-1}), t \rightarrow 0$ for $x \in \Omega$ uniformly.

(f₃) $f(x, -t) = -f(x, t), \forall x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

(g₀) $g : \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Caratheodory condition and there exist two constants $C'_1 \geq 0, C'_2 > 0$ such that:

$$|g(x, t)| \leq C'_1 + C'_2 |t|^{\beta(x)-1}, \forall (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $\beta(x) \in C_+(\partial\Omega)$ and $\beta(x) < p_{M*}(x), \forall x \in \partial\Omega$.

(g₁) There exists a constant $M_2 > 0, \theta_2 > p_M^+$ such that:

$$0 < \theta_2 G(x, t) \leq g(x, t)t, |t| \geq M_2, \forall x \in \partial\Omega.$$

(g₂) $g(x, t) = o(|t|^{p_M^+-1})$, $t \rightarrow 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$ uniformly.

(g₃) $g(x, -t) = -g(x, t)$, $\forall x \in \partial\Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Under the assumptions (f₀) and (g₀) the functional φ defined before is of class $C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p_1(x)-2} uv) dx \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p_2(x)-2} uv) dx, \\ &- \int_{\Omega} \lambda f(x, u) v dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu g(x, u) v d\sigma, \forall u, v \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the weak solution of (P) is exactly the critical point of φ . Moreover, φ is even if f and g are both odd with respect to the second argument respectively.

Theorem 3.3. *If (f₀) and (g₀) hold and $\alpha^+, \beta^+ < p_m^-$, then (P) has a weak solution.*

Proof. By Conditions (f₀) and (g₀) we get $|F(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{\alpha(x)})$, $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ and $|G(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{\beta(x)})$, $(x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. And for $\|u\|$ big enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx - \\ &\int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, u) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu G(x, u) d\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_M^+} (\|u_n\|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u_n\|_{p_2(x)}^{p_2^-}) - C|\lambda| \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx - C|\mu| \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{\beta(x)} d\sigma - C_3 \\ &\geq \frac{C_4}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - C|\lambda| \|u\|^{\alpha^+} - C|\mu| \|u\|^{\beta^+} - C_3 \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } \|u\| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So φ is coercive. In view that φ is also weakly lower semicontinuous, we see that φ has a global minimum point $u \in X$, which is a weak solution to Problem (P). We now complete the proof. $\square$

Definition 3.4. *We say that the function $\varphi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Palais-Smale (PS) condition in X if any sequence $\{u_n\} \in X$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(u_n)| &\leq B, \text{ for some } B \in \mathbb{R}; \\ \varphi'(u_n) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ in } X^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

has a convergent subsequence.

Lemma 3.5. *If $(f_0), (f_1), (g_0), (g_1)$ hold and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$, then φ satisfies (PS) condition.*

Proof. Suppose that $\{u_n\} \subset X$, $\{\varphi(u_n)\}$ is bounded and $\|\varphi'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0$. Denote $\Phi(u) = -\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx$ and $\Psi(u) = -\mu \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x, u) d\sigma$, they are both weakly continuous and their derivative operators are compact. By Lemma 3.1, we deduce that $\varphi' = L + \Phi' + \Psi'$ is also of type (S_+) . We only need to verify that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. For $\|u\|$ big enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C &\geq \varphi(u_n) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, u) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu G(x, u) d\sigma \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_n}{\theta} \lambda f(x, u_n) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{u_n}{\theta} \mu g(x, u_n) d\sigma - c \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p_1(x)} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p_2(x)} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)} + |u_n|^{p_1(x)} + |\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)} + |u_n|^{p_2(x)}) dx - \int_{\Omega} \lambda f(x, u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu g(x, u_n) u_n d\sigma \right) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) (\|u_n\|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u_n\|_{p_2(x)}^{p_2^-}) + \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)} + |u_n|^{p_1(x)} + |\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)} + |u_n|^{p_2(x)}) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} \lambda f(x, u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu g(x, u_n) u_n d\sigma \right) - c \\
&\geq C_4 \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} - \frac{1}{\theta} \right) \|u_n\|^{p_m^-} - \frac{1}{\theta} \|\varphi'(u_n)\| \|u_n\| - c,
\end{aligned}$$

in which $\theta = \min\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}$. The above inequality implies $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X . Finally we get that φ satisfies (PS) condition. $\square$

Theorem 3.6. *If $(f_0), (f_1), (f_2), (g_0), (g_1), (g_2)$ hold; $\alpha_-, \beta_- > p_M^+$ and $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ such that $\lambda^2 + \mu^2 \neq 0$, then (P) has a nontrivial solution.*

Proof. We shall show that φ satisfies conditions of Mountain Pass Theorem.

(i) From Lemma 3.5, φ satisfies (PS) condition in X . Since $p^+ < \alpha^- \leq \alpha(x) < p^*(x)$, $p^+ < \beta^- \leq \beta(x) < p^*(x)$, these imply $X \hookrightarrow L^{p_M^+}(\Omega)$,

$X \hookrightarrow L^{p_M^+}(\partial\Omega)$, i.e. there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$|u|_{L^{p_M^+}(\Omega)} \leq C_0 \|u\|, \forall u \in X, |u|_{L^{p_M^+}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_0 \|u\|, \forall u \in X.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be small enough such that $\epsilon C_0^{p_M^+}(\lambda + \mu) \leq \frac{C_5}{2p_M^+}$. By the assumptions (f_0) , (f_2) and (g_0) , (g_2) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, t) &\leq \epsilon |t|^{p_M^+} + C(\epsilon) |t|^{\alpha(x)}, \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}; \\ G(x, t) &\leq \epsilon |t|^{p_M^+} + C(\epsilon) |t|^{\beta(x)}, \forall (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\|u\| \leq 1$ we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, u) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu G(x, u) d\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p_M^+} (\|u\|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^+} + \|u\|_{p_2(x)}^{p_2^+}) - \lambda \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p_M^+} dx - \lambda C(\epsilon) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx \\ &\quad - \mu \epsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p_M^+} d\sigma - \mu C(\epsilon) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{\beta(x)} d\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{C_5}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_M^+} - (\lambda + \mu) \epsilon C_0^{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_M^+} - C(\epsilon) (\lambda \|u\|^{\alpha^-} + \mu \|u\|^{\beta^-}) \\ &\geq \frac{C_5}{2p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_M^+} - C(\epsilon) (\lambda \|u\|^{\alpha^-} + \mu \|u\|^{\beta^-}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the existence of $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $\varphi(u) \geq \delta > 0$ for every $u \in X$ satisfies $\|u\| = r$.

(ii) From (f_1) and (g_1) we see

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, t) &\geq C |t|^{\theta_1}, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, t \geq M, \\ G(x, t) &\geq C |t|^{\theta_2}, \forall x \in \partial\Omega, t \geq M. \end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $w \in X \setminus \{0\}$, and $t > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(tw) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla tw|^{p_1(x)} + |tw|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla tw|^{p_2(x)} + |tw|^{p_2(x)}) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, tw) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu G(x, tw) d\sigma \\ &\leq t^{p_M^+} \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla w|^{p_1(x)} + |w|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla w|^{p_2(x)} + |w|^{p_2(x)}) dx \right) \\ &\quad - C \lambda t^{\theta_1} \int_{\Omega} |w|^{\theta_1} dx - C \mu t^{\theta_2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |w|^{\theta_2} d\sigma - C_6 \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ since } \theta_1, \theta_2 > p_M^+. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) It is obvious $\varphi(0) = 0$ by (f_2) and (g_2) .

From (i), (ii) and (iii), we conclude φ satisfies the conditions of Mountain Pass Theorem (see [22]). So φ admits at least one nontrivial critical point. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *If (f_0) , (f_1) , (f_3) , (g_0) , (g_1) , (g_3) hold and $\max\{\alpha^+, \beta^+\} > p_M^+$; $\lambda, \mu > 0$, then φ has a sequence of critical points $\{u_n\}$ such that $\varphi(u_n) \rightarrow +\infty$ and (P) has infinite many pairs of solutions.*

Because X is a reflexive and separable Banach space, there are $\{e_j\} \subset X$ and $\{e_j^*\} \subset X^*$ such that

$$X = \overline{\text{span}\{e_j | j = 1, 2, \dots\}}, X^* = \overline{\text{span}\{e_j^* | j = 1, 2, \dots\}}$$

and

$$\langle e_i^*, e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j; \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Denote $X_j = \text{span}\{e_j\}$, $Y_k = \oplus_{j=1}^k X_j$, $Z_k = \oplus_{j=k}^\infty X_j$.

Lemma 3.8. *If $\alpha \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, $\alpha(x) < p_M^*(x)$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$; and $\beta \in C_+(\partial\Omega)$, $\beta(x) < p_{M^*}(x)$ for any $x \in \partial\Omega$, denote*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k &= \sup\{|u|_{L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)} | \|u\| = 1, u \in Z_k\}, \\ \beta_k &= \sup\{|u|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\partial\Omega)} | \|u\| = 1, u \in Z_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = 0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k = 0$.

Proof. For $0 < \alpha_{k+1} \leq \alpha_k$, then $\alpha_k \rightarrow \alpha \geq 0$. Suppose $u_k \in Z_k$ satisfy

$$\|u_k\| = 1, 0 \leq \alpha_k - |u_k|_{\alpha(x)} < \frac{1}{k},$$

then we may assume up a subsequence that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in X , and

$$\langle e_j^*, u \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle e_j^*, u_k \rangle = 0, j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

in which the last equal sign holds for $u_k \in Z_k$. The above equality implies that $u = 0$, so $u_k \rightarrow 0$ in X . Since the imbedding from X to $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact, we have $u_k \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$. We finally get $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$. The result for β_k can be obtained by the same procedure. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.7. By (f_1) , (f_3) , (g_1) , (g_3) φ is an even functional and

satisfies (PS) condition. We only need to prove that if k is large enough, then there exist $\rho_k > \gamma_k > 0$ such that

(A_1) $b_k := \inf\{\varphi(u) | u \in Z_k, \|u\| = \gamma_k\} \rightarrow \infty, (k \rightarrow \infty);$

(A_2) $a_k := \max\{\varphi(u) | u \in Y_k, \|u\| = \rho_k\} \leq 0,$

then the conclusion of Theorem 3.7 can be obtained by Fountain Theorem (see [23]) and Lemma 3.5.

(A_1) For any $u \in Z_k$ with $\|u\|$ is big enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, u) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \mu G(x, u) d\sigma \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p_M^+} (\|u\|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u\|_{p_2(x)}^{p_2^-}) - c\lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx - c\mu \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{\beta(x)} d\sigma - c_1 \\
&\geq \frac{C_4}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c\lambda |u|_{L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)}^{\alpha(\xi)} - c\mu |u|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\partial\Omega)}^{\beta(\eta)} - c_2, \text{ where } \xi \in \Omega, \eta \in \partial\Omega \\
&\geq \begin{cases} \frac{C_4}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c - c_2, & \text{if } |u|_{\alpha(x)} \leq 1, |u|_{\beta(x)} \leq 1; \\ \frac{C_4}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c\alpha_k^{\alpha^+} \|u\|^{\alpha^+} - c'_2, & \text{if } |u|_{\alpha(x)} > 1, |u|_{\beta(x)} \leq 1; \\ \frac{C_4}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c\beta_k^{\beta^+} \|u\|^{\beta^+} - c''_2, & \text{if } |u|_{\alpha(x)} \leq 1, |u|_{\beta(x)} > 1; \\ \frac{C_4}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c\alpha_k^{\alpha^+} \|u\|^{\alpha^+} - c\beta_k^{\beta^+} \|u\|^{\beta^+} - c_2, & \text{if } |u|_{\alpha(x)} > 1, |u|_{\beta(x)} > 1; \end{cases} \\
&\geq \frac{C_4}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c\alpha_k^{\alpha^+} \|u\|^{\alpha^+} - c\beta_k^{\beta^+} \|u\|^{\beta^+} - c_3 \\
&= C_4 \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c'\alpha_k^{\alpha^+} \|u\|^{\alpha^+} - c'\beta_k^{\beta^+} \|u\|^{\beta^+} \right) - c_3 \\
&\geq C_4 \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c''\zeta_k^{\zeta^+} \|u\|^{\zeta^+} \right) - c_3,
\end{aligned}$$

in which $\zeta^+ = \max\{\alpha^+, \beta^+\}$, $\zeta_k = \max\{\alpha_k, \beta_k\}$. Set $\|u\| = \gamma_k = (c''\zeta^+\zeta_k^{\zeta^+})^{\frac{1}{p_m^- - \zeta^+}}$. Because $\zeta_k \rightarrow 0$ and $p_m^- \leq p_M^+ < \zeta^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) &\geq C_4 \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_m^-} - c''\zeta_k^{\zeta^+} \|u\|^{\zeta^+} \right) - c_3 \\
&= C_4 \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} (c''\zeta^+\zeta_k^{\zeta^+})^{\frac{p_m^-}{p_m^- - \zeta^+}} - c''\zeta_k^{\alpha^+} (c''\zeta^+\zeta_k^{\zeta^+})^{\frac{\zeta^+}{p_m^- - \zeta^+}} \right) - c_3 \\
&= C_4 \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} - \frac{1}{\zeta^+} \right) (c''\zeta^+\zeta_k^{\zeta^+})^{\frac{p_m^-}{p_m^- - \zeta^+}} - c_3 \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

(A₂) From (f₁), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, t) &\geq c_1 |t|^{\theta_1} - c_2, \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}; \\ G(x, t) &\geq c_1 |t|^{\theta_2} - c_2, \forall (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\theta_1 > p_M^+$ and $\dim Y_k = k < \infty$ (all norms are equivalent in Y_k), it is easy to get $\varphi(u) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ for $u \in Y_k$. $\square$

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\alpha(x) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$, $\beta \in C_+(\partial\Omega)$ and $\alpha(x) < p^*(x), \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$; $\beta(x) < p_{M^*}(x), \forall x \in \partial\Omega$. If $f(x, t) = |t|^{\alpha(x)-2}t$, $g(x, t) = |t|^{\beta(x)-2}t$, $\alpha^- > p_M^+$, $\beta^+ < p_m^-$, then we have*

- (i) *For every $\lambda > 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, (P) has a sequence of weak solutions $\{\pm u_k\}$ such that $\varphi(\pm u_k) \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$;*
- (ii) *For every $\mu > 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, (P) has a sequence of weak solutions $\{\pm v_k\}$ such that $\varphi(\pm v_k) < 0$, and $\varphi(\pm v_k) \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. (i) The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.7 if we use the Fountain Theorem, we only verify (PS) condition here. We only need to verify the (PS) sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X as in Lemma 3.5. We assume

$$\{u_n\} \subset X, \varphi(u_n) \leq M, \varphi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $\|u_n\|$ big enough,

$$\begin{aligned} C \geq \varphi(u_n) &= \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)} + |u_n|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)} + |u_n|^{p_2(x)}) dx \right. \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\alpha(x)} |u_n|^{\alpha(x)} dx - \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{\beta(x)} |u_n|^{\beta(x)} d\sigma \left. \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \left[\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p_1(x)} + |u_n|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p_2(x)} + |u_n|^{p_2(x)}) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{\alpha(x)} dx - \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_n|^{\beta(x)} d\sigma \right] + \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \langle \varphi'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} - \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \right) (\|u_n\|_{p_1(x)}^{p_1^-} + \|u_n\|_{p_2(x)}^{p_2^-}) - C \|u_n\|^{\beta^+} - \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \|\varphi'(u_n)\|_{X^*} \|u_n\| \\ &\geq C_4 \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} - \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \right) \|u_n\|^{p_m^-} - C \|u_n\|^{\beta^+} - \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha^- > p_m^+, \beta^+ < p_m^-$, we have $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X .

(ii) We use the Dual Fountain Theorem (see [23]) to prove (ii), i.e. we have to prove that φ satisfies the $(PS)_c^*$ **condition** and there exist $\rho_k > r_k > 0$ such that for large k ,

- (B₁) $a_k := \inf\{\varphi(u)|u \in Z_k, \|u\| = \rho_k\} \geq 0$;
 (B₂) $b_k := \max\{\varphi(u)|u \in Y_k, \|u\| = r_k\} < 0$;
 (B₃) $d_k := \inf\{\varphi(u)|u \in Z_k, \|u\| \leq \rho_k\} \rightarrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$.

We will do this step by step:

(B₂) For $u \in Y_k$, $\|u\|$ small enough,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_1(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)} + |u|^{p_1(x)}) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p_2(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p_2(x)} + |u|^{p_2(x)}) dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\alpha(x)} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx - \mu \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{\beta(x)} |u|^{\beta(x)} d\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{C_6}{p_m^-} \|u\|^{p^-} + \frac{|\lambda|}{\alpha^-} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{\alpha(x)} dx - \frac{\mu}{\beta^+} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{\beta(x)} d\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\dim Y_k < \infty$ and $p_m^- > \beta^+, \alpha^- > p_M^+ > \beta^+$, if we choose r_k small enough, $b_k < 0$.

(B₁) For $u \in Z_k$, $\|u\|$ small,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(u) &\geq \frac{C_5}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_M^+} - \frac{C|\lambda|}{\alpha^-} \|u\|^{\alpha^-} - \frac{\mu}{\beta^-} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{\beta(x)} d\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{C_5}{p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_M^+} - \frac{C|\lambda|}{\alpha^-} \|u\|^{\alpha^-} - \frac{\mu}{\beta^-} \max\{|u|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\partial\Omega)}^{\beta^+}, |u|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\partial\Omega)}^{\beta^-}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha^- > p_M^+$, there exists ρ_0 small enough such that $\frac{C|\lambda|}{\alpha^-} \|u\|^{\alpha^-} \leq \frac{C_5}{2p_M^+}$ for any $u : 0 < \|u\| \leq \rho_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(u) &\geq \frac{C_5}{2p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_M^+} - \frac{\mu}{\beta^-} \max\{|u|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\partial\Omega)}^{\beta^+}, |u|_{L^{\beta(x)}(\partial\Omega)}^{\beta^-}\} \\ &\geq \frac{C_5}{2p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_M^+} - \frac{\mu}{\beta^-} \max\{\beta_k^{\beta^-} \|u\|^{\beta^-}, \beta_k^{\beta^+} \|u\|^{\beta^+}\} \\ &\geq \frac{C_5}{2p_M^+} \|u\|^{p_M^+} - \frac{\mu}{\beta^-} \beta_k^{\beta^-} \|u\|^{\beta^-}.\end{aligned}$$

Choose $\rho_k = \left(\frac{2p_M^+ \mu \beta_k^{\beta^-}}{C_5 \beta^-}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_M^+ - \beta^-}}$, then

$$\varphi(u) \geq \frac{C_5}{2p_M^+} \rho_k^{p_M^+} - \frac{C_5}{2p_M^+} \rho_k^{p_M^+} = 0.$$

From $p_m^- > \beta^+, \beta_k \rightarrow 0$, we know that $\rho_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

(B₃) From the proof of (B₁), we know for $u \in Z_k, \|u\| \leq \rho_k$ small enough

$$\varphi(u) \geq -\frac{\mu}{\beta^-} \beta_k^{\beta^-} \|u\|^{\beta^-}.$$

Since $\beta_k \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. And from the above proof, we can choose $\rho_k > r_k > 0$.

The proof of $(PS)_c^*$ condition. Consider a sequence $\{u_{n_j}\} \subset X$ such that

$$n_j \rightarrow \infty, u_{n_j} \in Y_{n_j}, \varphi(u_{n_j}) \rightarrow c, \varphi|_{Y_{n_j}}'(u_{n_j}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume $\|u\|$ is big enough, for large n , we have:

Case (1), $\lambda \geq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} C &\geq \varphi(u_{n_j}) = \varphi(u_{n_j}) - \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \langle \varphi'(u_{n_j}), u_{n_j} \rangle + \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \langle \varphi'(u_{n_j}), u_{n_j} \rangle \\ &\geq C_4 \left(\frac{1}{p_M^+} - \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \right) \|u_{n_j}\|^{p_M^-} - C \|u_{n_j}\|^{\beta^+} - \frac{1}{\alpha^-} \|u_{n_j}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha^- > p^+ \geq p^- > \beta^+$, we deduce $\{u_{n_j}\}$ is bounded in X .

Case (2), $\lambda < 0$. We consider the following inequality to get that $\{u_{n_j}\}$ is bounded in X :

$$C \geq \varphi(u_{n_j}) = \varphi(u_{n_j}) - \frac{1}{\alpha^+} \langle \varphi'(u_{n_j}), u_{n_j} \rangle + \frac{1}{\alpha^+} \langle \varphi'(u_{n_j}), u_{n_j} \rangle.$$

Going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_{n_j} \rightharpoonup u$ in X . As $X = \overline{\bigcup_{n_j} Y_{n_j}}$, we can choose $v_{n_j} \in Y_{n_j}$ such that $v_{n_j} \rightarrow u$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \varphi'(u_{n_j})(u_{n_j} - u) &= \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \varphi'(u_{n_j})(u_{n_j} - v_{n_j}) + \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \varphi'(u_{n_j})(v_{n_j} - u) \\ &= \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi|_{Y_{n_j}})'(u_{n_j})(u_{n_j} - v_{n_j}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

As φ' is of type (S_+) , we can conclude $u_{n_j} \rightarrow u$. Furthermore, we have $\varphi'(u_{n_j}) \rightarrow \varphi'(u)$. It remains to prove $\varphi'(u) = 0$. Take any $w_k \in Y_k$, for $n_j \geq k$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(u)w_k &= (\varphi'(u) - \varphi'(u_{n_j}))w_k + \varphi'(u_{n_j})w_k \\ &= (\varphi'(u) - \varphi'(u_{n_j}))w_k + (\varphi|_{Y_{n_j}})'(u_{n_j})w_k. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n_j \rightarrow \infty$ on the right hand side of the above equation, we get $\varphi'(u)w_k = 0, \forall w_k \in Y_k$, which implies $\varphi'(u) = 0$. This shows φ satisfies the $(PS)_c^*$ condition for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$. $\square$

Remark 3.10. We could extend all our results directly to the multi $p(x)$ -Laplacian case to consider the following $(p_1(x), p_2(x), \dots, p_n(x))$ -Laplace equation

$$(P_n) \begin{cases} -\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta_{p_j(x)} u + a_j(x)|u|^{p_j(x)-2}u = f(x, u), & \text{in } \Omega; \\ |\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \dots + |\nabla u|^{p_n(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \mu g(x, u), & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where $a_j(x) \geq \delta > 0$ are smooth functions and δ a positive real numbers. With slight elaboration and necessary preparation, we hope to deal with cases where some $a_j(x)$ touches zero with positive zero level set.

References

- [1] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione, Regularity results for stationary electrorheological fluids, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 164 (2002) 213-259.
- [2] M. Mihailescu, V. Radulescu, A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids, *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 462 (2006) 2625-2641.
- [3] M. RuBzicka, *Electrorheological Fluids: Modeling and Mathematical Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [4] Hermenegildo Borges de Oliveira, Existence for the steady problem of a mixture of two power-law fluids, *arXiv:1204.5906*.
- [5] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione: Functionals with $p(x)$ growth and regularity, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.* 11 (2000), 169174.
- [6] E. Acerbi, N. Fusco: Partial regularity under anisotropic (p,q) growth conditions, *J. Differential Equations* 107 (1994), 4667.
- [7] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione: Regularity results for electrorheological fluids: the stationary case, *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I* 334 (2002), 817822.
- [8] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Ruzicka, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, Exponents, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2017, 1st Edition, 2011, 509pp.
- [9] P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, U.V. Le and M. Nuortio, Overview of differential equations with non-standard growth, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010) 4551-4574.
- [10] X.L. Fan, D. Zhao, Regularity of minimizers of variational integrals with continuous $p(x)$ -growth conditions, *Chinese Ann. Math.* 17A (5) (1996) 557-564.
- [11] X.L. Fan, D. Zhao, A class of De Giorgi type and Holder continuity, *Nonlinear Anal.* 36 (1999) 295-318.
- [12] X.L. Fan, D. Zhao, The quasi-minimizer of integral functionals with $m(x)$ growth conditions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 39 (2000) 807-816.
- [13] V.V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals of calculus of variations and elasticity theory, *Math. USSR Izv.* 29 (1987) 33-36.
- [14] V.V. Zhikov, On pass to the limit in nonlinear variational problems, *Mat. Sbornik* 183 (8) (1992) 47-84.
- [15] D. Liu, On a p -Kirchhoff equation via Fountain Theorem and Dual Fountain Theorem, *Nonlinear Anal.* 72 (2010) 302-308.
- [16] G. Dai, D. Liu, Infinitely many positive solutions for a $p(x)$ -Kirchhoff-type equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 359 (2009) 704-710.

- [17] J. Yao, Solutions for Neumann boundary value problems involving $p(x)$ -Laplace operators, *Nonlinear Anal.* 68 (5) (2008) 1271-1283.
- [18] D. Zhao, W.J. Qiang, X.L. Fan, On the generalized Orlicz spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Gansu Sci.* 9 (2) (1997) 1-7.
- [19] D. Zhao, X.L. Fan, On the Nemytsky operators from $L^{p_1(x)}(\Omega)$ to $L^{p_2(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Lanzhou Univ.* 34 (1) (1998) 1-5.
- [20] D. Liu, X. Wang, J. Yao, On $(p_1(x), p_2(x))$ -Laplace equations, to appear.
- [21] X.L. Fan, D. Zhao, On the generalized Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, *J. Gansu Educ. College* 12 (1) (1998) 1-6.
- [22] K.C. Chang, *Critical Point Theorem and Applications*, Shanghai Scientific and Technology Press, Shanghai, 1986.
- [23] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1996.